

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,140	08/18/2003	J. Richard Aylward	02103-519002/S93 US1	3288	
26162 FISH & RICH	7590 04/05/2010 ARDSON PC	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 102	22	KURR, JASON RICHARD			
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2614		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/05/2010	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATDOCTC@fr.com

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/643,140	AYLWARD ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
JASON R. KURR	2614			

omoortonom cummany	Examiner	Art Unit						
	JASON R. KURR	2614						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory protein. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patter term adjustmens. See 37 CFR 1.7 office.	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 F	ebruary 2010.							
·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.							
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
· <del>-</del> ···	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
· _								
4) Claim(s) 1.2.6.42 and 46 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	will from consideration.							
6) Claim(s) 1.2.6.42 and 46 is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement							
o) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.							
Certified copies of the priority document		on No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio			Stage					
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.						
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite						

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTC/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/17/10.

5) Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Application/Control Number: 10/643,140

Art Unit: 2614

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 17, 2010 has been entered.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scofield (US 6853732 B2) in view of van der Werff (US 7343018 B2).

With respect to claim 1, Scofield discloses an audio system including a plurality of channels (fig.3 #54,56) intended to be radiated in a predetermined positional relationship to a listener, comprising: a listening area (fig.3 #64) comprising a plurality of listening spaces (fig.3 \*spaces occupied by listeners #26"); a first directional local audio device (fig.3 #58) comprising a radiating element radiating sound waves that

Application/Control Number: 10/643,140
Art Unit: 2614

destructively interfere more in some directions than the sound waves destructively interfere in other directions, the directional audio device being positioned in a first of said listening spaces (fig.3), close to a head of the listener (fig.3 #26) for radiating first sound waves corresponding to a first of said channels (fig.3 #58, "L-channel"); and a second nonlocal audio device (fig.3 #52), positioned inside said listening area and outside said listening spaces, distant from said first of said listening spaces (col.4 In.58-63), for radiating sound waves corresponding to said first of said channels (col.4 In.21-25). It is implied that destructive interference resultant from two separate sound sources (#58 and 60) would not be equal at all points in space, therefore the sound waves would destructively interfere more in some directions when compared to others. Scofield does not disclose expressly wherein the first directional local audio device

van der Werff discloses a directional local audio device comprising at least two radiating elements (fig.5 #1) for directionally radiating sounds of a first channel (col.1 In.5-14). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the directional array of van der Werff in place of the local audio device #58 of Scofield. The motivation for doing so would have been to increase the intensity of a sound beam directed towards a listeners head while reducing side lobe sound beams that lead to reflective sound noise within an enclosed listening area.

comprises at least two radiating elements for radiating sounds of a first channel.

With respect to claim 2, Scofield discloses an audio system in accordance with claim 1, wherein said directional audio devices comprise a plurality of acoustic drivers (van der Werff: fig.5 #1), wherein said acoustic drivers are positioned and arranged to

Application/Control Number: 10/643,140

Art Unit: 2614

radiate sound waves that interfere destructively at a first predetermined location in space and to interfere nondestructively at a second predetermined location in space.

With respect to claim 42, Scofield discloses an audio system including a plurality of channels (fig.3 #54.56) intended to be radiated in a predetermined positional relationship to a listener, comprising: a listening area (fig.3 #64) comprising a plurality of listening spaces (fig.3 "spaces occupied by listeners #26"); a first local audio device (fig.3 #58) comprising a radiating element radiating sound waves that destructively interfere more in some directions than the sound waves destructively interfere in other directions, the directional audio device being positioned in a first of said listening spaces, close to a head of the listener (fig.3 #26) for radiating first sound waves corresponding to a first of said channels (fig.3 #58, "L-channel"); and a second nonlocal audio device (fig.3 #52), positioned inside said listening area and outside said first of said listening spaces, distant from said first of said listening spaces (col.4 In.58-63), for radiating sound waves corresponding to said first of said channels (col.4 In.21-25). It is implied that destructive interference resultant from two separate sound sources would not be equal at all points in space, therefore the sound waves would destructively interfere more in some directions when compared to others. Scofield does not disclose expressly wherein the first directional local audio device comprises at least two radiating elements for radiating sounds of a first channel.

van der Werff discloses a directional local audio device comprising at least two radiating elements (fig.5 #1) for directionally radiating sounds of a first channel (col.1 In.5-14). At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary

Application/Control Number: 10/643,140

Art Unit: 2614

skill in the art to use the directional array of van der Werff in place of the local audio device #58 of Scofield. The motivation for doing so would have been to increase the intensity of a sound beam directed towards a listeners head while reducing side lobe sound beams that lead to reflective sound noise within an enclosed listening area.

Claims 6 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scofield (US 6,853,732 B2) in view of van der Werff (US 7343018 B2) and in view of Fabry (US 7,164,773 B2).

With respect to claim 6, Scofield discloses an audio system in accordance with claim 1, however does not disclose expressly wherein said listening area comprises a vehicle passenger compartment and said listening locations comprise seating locations within said vehicle passenger compartment.

Fabry discloses an audio system to be mounted within an automobile (see figure).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the audio system of Scofield in the automobile Fabry. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a virtual sound system within the cabin of a vehicle so as to provide a realistic reproduced sound to a passenger.

With respect to claim 46, Scofield discloses an audio system in accordance with claim 42, however does not disclose expressly wherein said listening area comprises a vehicle passenger compartment and said listening locations comprise seating locations within said vehicle passenger compartment.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,140 Page 6

Art Unit: 2614

Fabry discloses an audio system to be mounted within an automobile (see figure).

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the audio system of Scofield in the automobile Fabry. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a virtual sound system within the cabin of a vehicle so as to provide a realistic reproduced sound to a passenger.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 42 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JASON R. KURR whose telephone number is (571)272-0552. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00am to 6:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 273-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,140 Page 7

Art Unit: 2614

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jason R Kurr/

Examiner, Art Unit 2614

/Vivian Chin/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614